

CORPORATE PLAN PERFORMANCE MEASURES	POLARITY	2016-17 Q1	2016-17 Q2	2016-17 Q3	2016-17 Q4	2017-18 Q1	2017-18 Q2	2017-18 Q3	2017-18 Q4	TREND	KEY EXPLANATORY COMMENTARY
-------------------------------------	----------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	-------	----------------------------

Our Place Priorities

PL1 Growth - Promoting aspiration and growth in a vibrant economy, attracting quality jobs

% respondents 'satisfied' (or better) with planning application process	High is Good				n/a				n/a		This information is an annually-collected figure, and the sample will be shown as at Quarter 4, 2018-19
% Major planning decisions taken within 13 weeks	High is Good	60	87.5	87.5	100	100	75	93.33	88.9	↔ unchanged	
% Non-major planning decisions taken within 8 weeks	High is Good	56.7	62	55	75	80	80.4	85.5	85.3	↑ improvement	
Hectares (gross) of employment land developed	High is Good				n/a				n/a		This is an annually reported measure, and information is due for Q4 2018-19
Sustained Job outcomes at 6 months - % of number of job outcomes	High is Good	n/a	n/a	33	24	57	81	73	24	↓ deterioration	The performance trend is down from the beginning of the financial year
NNDR Collection efficiency (%)	High is Good	32.91	58.6	84.57	98.91	32.24	58	87.59	98	↑ improvement	

PL2 Regeneration - Developing a thriving town centre and rural offer; recognised as a great place to invest, live and visit

% Food businesses Broadly Compliant at inspection	High is Good	n/a	96								
Number of town centre vacant units expressed as a %	Low is Good	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.8	↔ broadly unchanged	The trend is broadly flat
Town Centre Vitality: Footfall [monthly figures summed for the quarter]	High is Good	1198369	1163464	1285784	1126465	1198369	1163464	1199854	1025539	↓ warning	While performance is GREEN, the longer trend for footfall is down, hence the AMBER arrow

PL3 Quality homes - Increasing the availability of good quality homes which meet local needs

Number of affordable homes completed	High is Good				47				35	↔ unchanged	35 completions is 67% of the target. There are relatively few market housing completions to which many affordable completions are linked, through Section 106 agreements. Register Provider supply has stalled due to continued uncertainty regarding the Governments affordable housing funding programme.
Net additional dwellings compared with 2011	High is Good				154				138	↔ unchanged	2011 completions = 157; 2017/18 completions = 138, so within tolerance (12% below 2011 level). This is a similar level to previous 2 years. The number of planning permissions granted in the last year or so give reason to be confident that completions will increase to above 2011 levels in 2018/19 and beyond.
Housing Delivery Test											The housing delivery test is a measure of how much housing has been delivered in the Borough compared with the housing requirement that is set out in the adopted Melton Local Plan. Comparable data will be published for all LPAs across England by MHCLG every November (this inaugural year's result is still awaited). It uses data on completion for the previous 3 years, so for this year's test, it will be the data from 1 st April 2015 to 31 st March 2018.

CORPORATE PLAN PERFORMANCE MEASURES	POLARITY	2016-17 Q1	2016-17 Q2	2016-17 Q3	2016-17 Q4	2017-18 Q1	2017-18 Q2	2017-18 Q3	2017-18 Q4	TREND	KEY EXPLANATORY COMMENTARY
Average void time (Excluding Development Voids) in days [monthly averages expressed as quarterly averages]	Low is Good	30.34	26.35	25.69	21.52	23.52	32.28	24.15	37.13	 deterioration	
% of customers satisfied with the level and quality of Housing repairs works undertaken in their home. (H&CA) [monthly figures averaged for the quarter]	High is Good	83.67	86.33	96.67	91.33	83.67	96.67	100	100	 improvement	
% non decent Homes (public) (H&CA)	Low is Good	23	23	20	19	29	28	29	29	 unchanged	

PL4 Attractive environment - Achieving a clean and attractive local environment

% of sites passing the litter, detritus and graffiti assessments	High is good		100		95		83		80	 warning	While performance continues to be GREEN, there is a continuous decline over the whole period shown
Fly tipping - in the current Priority Neighbourhood Areas (PNA's) communal bin stores (16 sites) and hot spot Morley close	Low is good	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		This is a new performance measure, which is awaiting data for comparison and analysis.
Dog Fouling - in the current Priority Neighbourhood Areas (PNA's) . Egerton ward ,Town Centre flats , Fairmead Estate . - Service requests	Low is good	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		This is a new performance measure, which is awaiting data for comparison and analysis.
Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting - quarterly [rolling average of 4 quarters]	High is Good	46.48	46.03	46.10	46.23	47.10	47.53	47.08	45.48	 deterioration	
Kg of residual waste per household - quarterly	Low is Good	131.72	119.7	119.98	123.07	118.6	117.65	121.36	124.31	 broadly unchanged	

PL5 Well-connected Borough - Working with partners to improve physical and digital infrastructure

Digital footfall - numbers using the digital suite for claim, employment or other online activity (ad hoc or regular)		n/a	n/a	221	150	185	181	39	57		
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	----	--	--

CORPORATE PLAN PERFORMANCE MEASURES	POLARITY	2016-17 Q1	2016-17 Q2	2016-17 Q3	2016-17 Q4	2017-18 Q1	2017-18 Q2	2017-18 Q3	2017-18 Q4	TREND	KEY EXPLANATORY COMMENTARY
-------------------------------------	----------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	-------	----------------------------

Our People Priorities

PP1 Fulfilling Potential - Helping people fulfil their potential and achieve their ambitions

Attendance at Council Leisure facilities (WLC and MSV combined)	High is Good	85537	83848	74804	96520	90660	93648	78156	92554	 improvement	
Attendance at physical activity outreach programmes within the community	High is Good	4120	4046	3654	4066	3287	3348	3765	3878	 improvement	
Participation of vulnerable residents on physical activity programmes	High is Good	723	766	680	723	712	738	663	701	 broadly unchanged	

PP2 Resilient Communities - Work with our partners to address vulnerability and tackle the root causes of social problems, building safe, happy and healthy communities

Safer Communities - Number new cases opened	Low is Good	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Combined MBC/Police data - total crimes/ASB reported	Low is Good	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	905	1829	n/a	n/a		
% residents who feedback that their ASB issue has been solved	High is Good	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Number re-presenting ASB/noise nuisance demands	Low is Good	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		

PP3 Independent Lives - Focussing on our priority neighbourhoods, support people to overcome disadvantage and live well independently

Homelessness - % applications where homelessness was prevented	High is Good	n/a	80								
Homelessness - Number in temporary accommodation	Low is Good	23	27	31	34	37	38	31	34	 broadly unchanged	
Total residents currently with arrears (CT and rent combined). Not including historic debt.	Low is Good	n/a		Not currently possible to determine the comparison between the two figures - Measure to be reviewed							
Revenues - Number customers in arrears and in receipt of UC	Low is Good	n/a									
% claims processed within 5 working days of all information received (P&C) [monthly figures averaged for the quarter]	High is Good	95.00	95.00	95.00	95.67	95.00	98.67	97.67	97.00	 broadly unchanged	
Number days taken for payment to be made after initial application for HB	Low is Good	n/a	19.12								

CORPORATE PLAN PERFORMANCE MEASURES	POLARITY	2016-17 Q1	2016-17 Q2	2016-17 Q3	2016-17 Q4	2017-18 Q1	2017-18 Q2	2017-18 Q3	2017-18 Q4	TREND	KEY EXPLANATORY COMMENTARY
Number of individuals where independence scores have increased (80% of 50 individuals per annum)	High is Good	n/a		We are still having problems with being able to collate this performance measure through our systems which has already been discussed and being looked at as a priority to try and resolve.							

CORPORATE PLAN PERFORMANCE MEASURES	POLARITY	2016-17 Q1	2016-17 Q2	2016-17 Q3	2016-17 Q4	2017-18 Q1	2017-18 Q2	2017-18 Q3	2017-18 Q4	TREND	KEY EXPLANATORY COMMENTARY
-------------------------------------	----------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	-------	----------------------------

OG4 Good Employer - Being a great place to work and build a career

Sickness – number of days per FTE employee in a year	Low is Good	1.1	2.4	4.1	5.1	0.6	1.8	3.5	4.9	 unchanged	
% of Staff Turnover per annum	Low is Good				16				18	 unchanged	

EXPLANATORY NOTES

1 RAG RATING

The RED, AMBER, and GREEN colours used for each quarter's performance are based on the detailed definitions of the performance measures provided by the directorates

2 TREND ARROWS

The size of the trend arrow is NOT related to the size of the performance trend, and only indicates the direction of the trend

3 POLARITY AND TREND ARROWS

The direction of the TREND arrow reflects the POLARITY of the performance measure.

For example:

Where a performance measure has the POLARITY equal to **Low is Good**, improvement will be a GREEN arrow pointing DOWNWARDS towards LOW (which is GOOD in this case)



Where a performance measure has the POLARITY equal to **Low is Good**, deterioration will be a RED arrow pointing UPWARDS towards HIGH (which is BAD in this case)



And:

Where a performance measure has the POLARITY equal to **High is Good**, improvement will be a GREEN arrow pointing UPWARDS towards HIGH (which is GOOD in this case)



and so on

An UNCHANGED trend is indicated by a horizontal double ended arrow. The colour of the arrow shows the (unchanged) trend

For example:

